

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324a Proceeding
	)	Case No. 94A00056
HOSUNG CLEANING CORP.	)	
D/B/A SUN CLEANERS,	)	
Respondents.	)	
_____	)	

ORDER  
(October 11, 1994)

The final decision and order was entered in this case on August 24, 1994. That issuance cautioned that it would become the final action of the Attorney General unless the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer modified or vacated it within 30 days from that date.

By letter-pleading dated September 23, 1994, filed October 3, 1994, Respondent, by counsel, requests reconsideration to permit the parties to resettle the case by agreeing to pay a civil money penalty at a lesser sum than the sum adjudged. The motion is denied. The administrative law judge is not the appropriate forum for considering an appeal. In any event, nothing precludes the parties from achieving an accommodation of the dispute as to payment of the adjudicated civil money penalty. To the extent that the letter-pleading is construed as a motion for adjudicatory relief from the judge, it is denied.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated and entered this 11th day of October, 1994.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MARVIN H. MORSE  
Administrative Law Judge